

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION No.9123 of 1996

Dated 09-09-2005

Between:

Smt. P.Laxmi and another.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

Govt. of A.P., and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

The petitioners herein were employed on daily wages with the third respondent. The first petitioner was appointed as a Sweeper on 10-06-1983 and the second petitioner was appointed as a Driver on 14-04-1987.

It is the case of the petitioners that on the death of a regular driver of the third respondent in the year 1988, a clear vacancy arose and one more vacancy of driver arose in the year 1990 due to retirement of a regular driver of the third respondent. It is also stated that the third respondent sent proposals to the second respondent on 22-07-1995 for regularization of the services of the petitioners in accordance with G.O.Ms.No.212, dated 22-04-1994. It is stated that another proposal was sent by the third respondent to the second respondent on 24-01-1996 and that till the date, on which the writ petition was filed, no orders, for regularization, were passed.

None appears for the respondents nor any counter affidavit is filed on their behalf.

This Court by order dated 25-04-1996 directed the respondents to pay the petitioners minimum time scale of pay attached to their posts.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that though the petitioners are eligible for absorption in terms of G.O.Ms.No.212, dated 22-04-1994, he is unaware

as to what has transpired subsequent to the interim order being passed on 25-04-1996 and that he is not in a position to state as to whether the services of the petitioners have subsequently been regularized or not. it is also not known as to whether the second respondent has forwarded the proposal sent to him by the third respondent, in turn to the first respondent, who is the competent authority to direct regularization of services of employees. Incase, the services of the petitioners have not been regularized, subsequent to the filing of the writ petition, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to forward the proposal sent by the third respondent to the first respondent, who, in turn, shall consider the said proposal and pass appropriate orders thereon, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

RAMESH RANGANATHAN, J

Date: 09-09-2005

Prv

p-1 SWEEPER ON 10-06-1983 – DAILY wages

P-2 applied as driver on 14-04-1987 do

1988 regular driver died – clear vacancy

p-2 made representation not considered.

1990 one more vacancy of driver due to retirement.

22-07-1995 Project officer ITDA sent proposal to absorb since as per
G.O.Ms.No.212

24-1-96 proposal

seeking regularization of services.

96. pTo pay minimum scale of pay